

Docket No.: 294504US41PCT/jkl

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Michel PAQUIER

SERIAL NUMBER: 10/593,253

GROUP: 2887

FILED: September 18, 2006

EXAMINER: STANFORD,
CHRISTOPHER J.

FOR: GLAZING COMPRISING A MARKING ELEMENT, GLAZING IDENTIFICATION
METHOD AND IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM THEREOF

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication of Allowability of the claimed invention. In response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowance of January 13, 2011, Applicant respectfully submits the following comments.

In the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance on page 2 of the Notice of Allowance mailed January 13, 2011, paragraph 3 states in part:

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
neither the cited prior art of record taken alone of [*sic*] in combination with other references reasonably teach a system for identifying a glazing unit using a marking element affixed to the glazing unit and identifiable from outside the glazing unit, the system comprising: a first technical communication device that transmits recognition of the marking element to an identification device including a computer database, the identification device receiving the recognition of the marking element and identifying one or more hexadecimal numbers from a string of characters of the marking element, the string of characters containing one or more substrings of successive characters where at least one of the one or more substrings is encoded as a hexadecimal number with a base of 16, the identification device correlating the one or more hexadecimal numbers to information in the computer database, the information including characteristics unique to the glazing unit on which the marking element is visible and being at least partially publically renderable.

The above comment does not appear to be accurate with respect to independent Claims 43 and 47. For example, Claim 43 recites a “method for identifying a glazing unit” comprising “correlating via the computer database the one or more hexadecimal numbers” and not “a system for identifying a glazing unit” wherein “the identification device correlating to the one or more hexadecimal numbers” and Claim 47 does not recite “a first technical communication device.” Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the above quoted statement does not apply to independent Claims 43 and 47 (and claims dependent therefrom) to the extent the language used in the statement differs from the language of the claims.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.



Philippe J.C. Signore, Ph.D.
Registration No. 43,922

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000
Fax. (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 07/09)

Craig R. Feinberg
Registration No. 62,116